

Research

BIG regulates stomatal immunity and jasmonate production in Arabidopsis

Ruo-Xi Zhang¹*, Shengchao Ge¹*, Jingjing He¹, Shuangchen Li¹, Yanhong Hao², Hao Du³, Zhongming Liu¹, Rui Cheng¹, Yu-Qi Feng² , Lizhong Xiong³, Chuanyou Li⁴, Alistair M. Hetherington⁵ and Yun-Kuan Liang¹

¹State Key Laboratory of Hybrid Rice, Department of Plant Science, College of Life Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China; ²Key Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry for Biology and Medicine (Ministry of Education), Department of Chemistry, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China; ³National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement and National Center of Plant, Gene Research (Wuhan), Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China; ⁴State Key Laboratory of Plant Genomics and National Center for Plant Gene Research (Beijing), Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China; ⁵School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Life Sciences Building, 24 Tyndall Avenue, Bristol, BS8 1TQ, UK

Authors for correspondence: Yun-Kuan Liang Tel: +86 27 68752363

Alistair M. Hetherington Tel: +44 117 3941188 Email: Alistair.Hetherington@bristol.ac.uk

Received: *14 June 2018* Accepted: *19 October 2018*

Email: ykliang@whu.edu.cn

New Phytologist (2019) **222:** 335–348 **doi**: 10.1111/nph.15568

Key words: *BIG* gene, biotic stress, ethylene homeostasis, hormonal interaction, jasmonate signalling, plant development, stomatal immunity.

Summary

• Plants have evolved an array of responses that provide them with protection from attack by microorganisms and other predators. Many of these mechanisms depend upon interactions between the plant hormones jasmonate (JA) and ethylene (ET). However, the molecular basis of these interactions is insufficiently understood.

• Gene expression and physiological assays with mutants were performed to investigate the role of Arabidopsis *BIG* gene in stress responses.

• *BIG* transcription is downregulated by methyl JA (MeJA), necrotrophic infection or mechanical injury. BIG deficiency promotes JA-dependent gene induction, increases JA production but restricts the accumulation of both ET and salicylic acid. JA-induced anthocyanin accumulation and chlorophyll degradation are enhanced and stomatal immunity is impaired by BIG disruption. Bacteria- and lipopolysaccaride (LPS)-induced stomatal closure is reduced in *BIG* gene mutants, which are hyper-susceptible to microbial pathogens with different lifestyles, but these mutants are less attractive to phytophagous insects.

• Our results indicate that BIG negatively and positively regulate the MYC2 and ERF1 arms of the JA signalling pathway. BIG warrants recognition as a new and distinct regulator that regulates JA responses, the synergistic interactions of JA and ET, and other hormonal interactions that reconcile the growth and defense dilemma in Arabidopsis.

Introduction

Jasmonates (JAs) act to amplify signals induced by wounding and negatively impact plant growth. This is exemplified in the so-called 'Bonsai effect', that is the over-activation of JA responses stunt plant growth by inhibiting cell production (Swiatek *et al.*, 2002; Pauwels *et al.*, 2008; Zhang & Turner, 2008; Wasternack & Hause, 2013). Under stress-free growth conditions, the inhibitory effects of JAs on plant growth are restrained by a group of nuclear proteins called JASMONATE-ZIM-DOMAIN (JAZ) repressors (Chini *et al.*, 2007), and by CYP94B3 that catalyzes the conversion of JA-Ile into the biologically inactive 12-hydroxy-JA-Ile (Koo *et al.*, 2011), as well as by the JAV1-JAZ8-WRKY51 (JJW) complex that binds and represses JA biosynthesis genes (Yan *et al.*, 2018). Although knowledge is still fragmentary, it seems likely that mechanical and biotic wounding causes rapid JA production through a positive feedback loop whereby JA signaling promotes its own synthesis (Staswick & Tiryaki, 2004; Chini *et al.*, 2007; Glauser *et al.*, 2009; Koo *et al.*, 2009; Wasternack & Hause, 2013; Yan *et al.*, 2018).

In plants, JA and ethylene (ET) interact to control plant immunity and development. Both *coi1-1* (JA insensitive) and *ein2-1* (ET insensitive) mutants are more susceptible to fungal infection than the wild-type Arabidopsis (WT) (Penninckx *et al.*, 1998; Thomma *et al.*, 1998, 1999; Wasternack, 2014) and the *ein3eil1* double mutant (encoding two transcription factors involved in ET signaling) is defective in JA-induced gene expression and root hair development (Zhu *et al.*, 2011). Apart from their synergistic action, JA and ET can interact negatively in regulating the expression of wound-responsive genes and the apical hook formation (Song *et al.*, 2014a).

Salicylic acid (SA) biosynthesis and SA signaling are required for local and systemic acquired resistance (SAR) against biotrophs. Plants unable to accumulate SA showed enhanced

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.

susceptibility to pathogen infection and resistance was restored when plants treated with SA or SA analogue (Verberne *et al.*, 2000; Spoel & Dong, 2008; Vlot *et al.*, 2009). Although some synergistic interactions are known, SA and JA usually have antagonistic effects as JA induction down-regulates the SA pathway, and vice versa (Kunkel & Brooks, 2002; Koornneef & Pieterse, 2008). The mutual antagonism of JA and SA is evolutionary conserved and has been reported in many plant species in various taxonomic groups (Doares *et al.*, 1995; Kloek *et al.*, 2001; Spoel *et al.*, 2003; Van der Does *et al.*, 2013).

Stomata are pores on the leaf surface that regulate the loss of water vapour and CO_2 uptake (Kim *et al.*, 2010; He & Liang, 2018). In addition to controlling gas exchange, stomata also contribute to plant defense. This is achieved by closing stomata upon pathogen perception. The net result is to restrict pathogen entry and thereby hamper colonization in plants (Melotto *et al.*, 2006; Faulkner & Robatzek, 2012). The available evidence indicates that defense through stomatal closure is an integral part of the SA-regulated innate immune system (Delaney *et al.*, 1994; Wildermuth *et al.*, 2001; Melotto *et al.*, 2006).

Originally isolated as a mutant with defects in the resistance to the growth-inhibiting effects of 1-N-naphthylphthalamic acid, a widely used potent inhibitor of auxin transport, BIG encodes a putative large calossin-like protein with a MW of 560 kDa, participating in different processes including auxin distribution, hormone and light responses, phosphate stress, vesicle trafficking and endocytosis (Li et al., 1994; Ruegger et al., 1997; Gil et al., 2001; Kanyuka et al., 2003; López-Bucio et al., 2005; Paciorek et al., 2005; Yamaguchi et al., 2007). BIG was re-annotated based on the sequences of an overlapping set of RT-PCR products. The gene encodes a predicted 5077 amino acid protein which is required for stomatal response to elevated concentration of CO₂ (He et al., 2018). Mutations in BIG restrict overall plant growth and development, leading to plant architecture reshaping and delayed flowering (Ruegger et al., 1997; Gil et al., 2001; Yamaguchi et al., 2007; Guo et al., 2013). Intriguingly, both the enhanced and reduced resistance against fungal pathogens F. oxysporum and A. brassicicola have been ascribed to the perturbed auxin pathway in big mutants (Kazan & Manners, 2009; Qi et al., 2012).

Here we show that defects in development and defence observed in *big* mutants can be largely ascribed to the activation of the JA pathway. MeJA downregulates the expression of BIG at the transcription level. BIG also regulates an array of JAsmediated plant development and defense responses in a COI1dependent manner. Loss of BIG function potentiated the buildup of JAs that represses the SA pathway in Arabidopsis. ET synthesis was impaired by BIG deficiency and BIG respectively, negatively and positively regulates the MYC2 and ERF1 arms of the JA signalling pathway. Moreover, BIG is required in hook formation of seed germination for seedling soil emergence and also likely in the survival of etiolated seedling to light exposure, two key adaptive traits of agronomic impact. Taken together, BIG represents a new regulator of JA pathway and a point of convergence for the interactions of JA and ET and other hormones, which is important to reconcile the growth and defense dilemma in plant.

Plant material, growth conditions

All Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana* L.) lines used were in the Columbia background (Col-0). Two well characterized alleles of *big* mutant used in this study, *doc1-1* and *big-1* were obtained from NASC (the European Arabidopsis Stock Centre, http:// Arabidopsis.org.uk). Mutant lines *coi1-1* (Xie *et al.*, 1998) and *coi1-2* (Xu *et al.*, 2002) were previously described. Arabidopsis plants were grown in ½MS medium or in soil in a glasshouse at 22° C: 18°C, day: night cycles with a 10 h: 14 h, light: dark photoperiod (light intensity 120 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹).

Botrytis cinerea growth and plant inoculation

Fungi cultivation and plant inoculation were performed essentially as described (Yuan *et al.*, 2017). In brief, *B. cinerea* strain (B05.10) was cultured on potato dextrose plates at 22°C for 10–14 d. Spores were collected and suspended in liquid PDA sodium. Leaves of 5wk-old plants were inoculated with spores at a final concentration of 1.5×10^6 spores ml⁻¹. Infected plants were transferred to an artificial environmental plant growth chamber with a setup as 10 h : 14 h, light : dark photoperiod at 22°C : 18°C, day : night cycles with a light intensity 100 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and a constant 90% humidity.

ET induction was performed according to Zander *et al.* (2012) with slight modifications. Briefly, 4-wk-old plants were sprayed with 0.5 mM of the ethylene precursor 1-aminocyclopropane-carboxylic acid (ACC) (Sigma). Water (the solvent) spraying served as the mock control. Forty-eight hours later, the ACC treated and control plants were inoculated with spores at a final concentration of 1.5×10^6 spores ml⁻¹ before transferred to environmental controlled plant growth chamber as described above. Symptoms on rosette leaves were analyzed 4 d after inoculation.

Bacteria growth assay

P. syringae strains Pst DC3000 and Pst DC3000 (avrB) used in this study were obtained and prepared as previously described (Yuan et al., 2017). Bacteria were grown on a plate of a low salt Luria Bertani (LB) medium with appropriate antibiotics at 28°C for 1-2 d. Then the bacteria were transferred to liquid sodium with appropriate antibiotics grown shaking for 12 h. The culture was centrifuged at 2500 g for 10 min and the bacteria are resuspended in 10 mM MgCl₂. Five-week-old plants were sprayed with a bacterial suspension at a concentration of $5 \times 10^8 \text{ CFU ml}^{-1}$ containing 0.02% Silwet L-77. Following inoculation, the plants were immediately transferred to a growth room that had a setup of 10 h:14 h light: dark photoperiod at 22°C:18°C, day: night cycles with a light intensity 100 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and a relative humidity of 85%. Plants were placed under a plastic dome to maintain high humidity for 2 d before the measurement of SA contents as described by Chen et al. (2009) or for 3 d for the bacterial growth assays, following the published protocol (Yuan et al., 2017).

Stomatal aperture assay

Abaxial epidermis was removed from the newly fully expanded leaves of 4–5-wk-old plants and floated, cuticle-side up, on 10 mM MES/KOH (pH 6.2) in 5 cm deep Petri dishes (Sterilin, Cambrige, UK) at 22°C for 30 min in the dark to encourage stomata to close completely before peels were transferred to fresh Petri dishes and incubated for 2.5 h in the light under a PPD of 150 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MES/KOH (pH 6.15) at 22°C with either bacterial suspensions at a final concentration of 10⁸ CFU ml⁻¹ for 1 h or 100 ng µl⁻¹ lipopolysaccharide (LPS, from *P. aeruginosa*; Sigma) for 3 h before measurements of stomatal apertures were conducted. Forty stomatal pores were measured per treatment in three separate replicated tests (total stomata measured = 120; *n*=3). Experiments were conducted double blinded and repeated independently three times.

Insect defense assay

The two-choice tests for *S. exigua* larvae and aphids (*M. persicae*) were performed as described (Hu *et al.*, 2013). Each Petri dish (150 mm) containing 1% phytagel had two plants with two comparable genotypes (WT vs *big-1* or WT vs *doc1-1*). In total, either 60 larvae of *S. exigua* or adult aphids were placed equidistant from the two plants, and the numbers of larvae or aphids on each plant were recorded after 24 h incubation.

JA, SA quantitation

OPDA, JA, JA-Ile, and SA were quantitated according to Chen et al. (2011) with slight modifications. Briefly, Arabidopsis leaf tissue (0.1 g) was ground to fine powder in liquid nitrogen, and extracted with 1.25 ml 80% (v/v) methanol at 4°C for 12 h and corresponding standards were added to plant samples as the internal control before grinding. The extract was centrifuged (30 000 g, 4°C, 20 min) and supernatant was collected and passed through a C-18 SPE-cartridge. The elution was dried under nitrogen gas stream and reconstituted in 100 µl of H₂O. The solution was acidified with $12 \,\mu$ l of $0.1 \,M$ hydrochloric acid and extracted with ethyl ether (three times, 0.5 ml). The next steps are in accordance with the above-mentioned reference. Finally, the dissolved sample was injected into an HPLC-ESI-Q-TOF-MS system (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany) for analysis. Separations were performed on a reversed-phase/SCX column (C18-SCX, 4:1, 150 × 2.1 mm, 5 μ m, Shiseido) at a flow rate of 0.2 ml min⁻¹ at 30°C, eluting with 40 mM ammonium acetate and acetonitrile (18:82, v/v).

Ethylene measurement

The ethylene contents of the plants grown in the gas chromatography (GC) vials (B7990-6A; National Scientific Co., Rockwood, TN, USA) were determined by GC as described (Du *et al.*, 2014). In brief, the GC vials containing Arabidopsis seedlings were immediately capped after treatment. At the indicated time points, the gas sample (1 ml) were introduced into a gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector column packed with activated aluminum at 100°C. ET was detected by an ionization detector and recorded by an integrator. The sample injected temperature is 80°C, whereas the column is 150°C.

RNA extraction and Q-PCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted from materials using Trizol® reagent (Invitrogen). RNA was treated with RNase-free DNase I, (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) to remove amounts of DNA. The absence of genomic DNA was confirmed by PCR using RNA as template without reverse transcription. Then the first strand cDNA was synthesized using a first strand cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). cDNA corresponding to 20 ng of total RNA and 300 nM of each primer were used in Q-PCR reactions. Q-PCR was carried out on an ECO® real-time PCR thermal cycler (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) in a total reaction volume of 10 µl using the SYBR green dye PCR Master Mix (Toyobo, Tokyo, Japan), using these conditions: 95°C for 5 min, 40 two-step cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 40 s, followed by dissociation melting curve analysis to determine the PCR specificity. The gene-specific primers used were designed using the online server of MWG biotech (http://www. mwg-biotech.com/). The relative RNA levels were calculated from cycle threshold ($C_{\rm T}$) values according to the $\Delta C_{\rm T}$ method, and relative target mRNA levels were normalized to Actin3 (At3g53750) mRNA levels. For each target gene, the reactions were carried out in triplicate. The relative expression values were calculated from three biological replicates.

Anthocyanin and chlorophyll content measurement

Anthocyanin content was measured as described (Deikman & Hammer, 1995). Arabidopsis seedlings (50 mg) were placed into 1 ml extract buffer (propanol:HCl:H₂O, 18:1:81, v/v/v). Then the sample was boiled for 3 min and then incubated overnight at room temperature. Absorbance values (A_{535} and A_{650}) of the extraction solution were measured using spectrophotometer. The anthocyanin content is presented as (A_{535} - A_{650})/g fresh weight. Experiments were repeated three times. Chlorophyll of detached leaves was extracted from 80% acetone and determined at 663 and 645 nm according to Lichtenthaler (1987). Experiments were repeated independently three times.

Protochlorophyllide determination

Protochlorophyllide content was measured as previously described (Zhong *et al.*, 2014). Protochlorophyllide of etiolated seedlings was extracted in 90% (v/v) acetone containing 0.1% NH₃ in the dark at room temperature for 24 h. Then, the sample was centrifuged. The fluorescence of supernatant was then measured with a fluorescence microplate reader using excitation wavelength at 440 nm and fluorescence emission spectra at 634 nm.

GUS staining

Arabidopsis seedlings (5 d old) were incubated in GUS staining solution containing 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-glucuronide cyclohexylamine salt (X-Gluc), 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 10 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 0.1% (v/v) Trion X-100. The sample was vacuum-infiltrated for 5 min and incubated at 37°C to develop blue colour. Then the sample was transferred to 70% ethanol to be de-stained and subsequently photographed.

Statistical analysis

Each experiment was carried out at least three independent times with similar results. Statistical significances based on unpaired two sample Student's *t*-test were determined with SIGMAPLOT 10 (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).

Results

Stomatal immunity and SA induction are compromised by mutations in *BIG* gene

The BIG gene encodes a calossin-like protein required for auxin transport (Ruegger et al., 1997; Gil et al., 2001; Yamaguchi et al., 2007). Recently, we reported that BIG is also involved in elevated CO₂-induced stomatal closure (He et al., 2018). In addition to regulating the uptake of CO2 from the atmosphere and the loss of water vapor from the plant, stomata are also a major point of entry for many microbial pathogens entering plants. As a defence against pathogen attack, plants close their stomata thereby reducing infection (Melotto et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2010; He & Liang, 2018). Given the newly discovered role of BIG in the control of stomatal aperture we decided to investigate whether it is also involved in the mechanism underlying stomatal immunity. First, we monitored stomatal closure in responding to virulent live cells of P. syringae pv tomato (Pst) DC3000. At a Pst DC3000 concentration sufficient to induce reductions in stomatal aperture in WT, stomata of two mutant alleles of the BIG gene (doc1-1 and big-1, as described by Gil et al. (2001) and Kasajima et al. (2007)) remained open (Fig. 1a,b). We found a similar result when we infected plants with Pst DC3000 (avrB), which is an avirulent isolate of Pst DC3000 carrying the effector protein AvrB (He et al., 2004; Yan et al., 2009; Cui et al., 2010) (Fig. 1c). Similarly, big mutant stomata failed to close when plants were challenged with lipopolysaccharides (LPS), key components of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria that are known to trigger immune responses in plants and animals (Melotto et al., 2006; Shang-Guan et al., 2018) (Supporting Information Fig. S1a,b).

We next inoculated 4-wk-old plants with *Pst* DC3000 and *Pst* DC3000 (avrB), respectively, by spraying. This mimics the natural infection process in which bacteria actively enter plant leaves through stomata before spreading and multiplying to high population densities in intercellular spaces. We found that while initial bacterial loadings were similar (see Fig. 1d, 0 d post inoculation), *big* mutants supported 10-fold and 1000-fold higher *Pst* DC3000

and *Pst* DC3000 (avrB) proliferation respectively than WT at 3 d post inoculation (dpi) (Fig. 1d,e). To determine whether BIG deficiency only affects stomata-mediated immunity, we performed bacterial infiltration assays and found that there was no significant difference in bacteria growth on leaves of WT and *big* mutants (Fig. S1c). This result suggests that BIG is a positive regulator of basal resistance against bacteria. As the proliferation response in *big* mutants was much greater after challenging with *Pst* DC3000 (avrB), all our subsequent experiments were primarily conducted using this pathogenic strain.

Given the SA-regulated innate immune system is an integral part of plant defense through stomatal closure (Melotto *et al.*, 2006), we studied the effect of *BIG* mutations on the transcription of SA pathway marker genes *PR1* and *PR5*. Quantitative real-time PCR (Q-PCR) analysis shows that the induction of both genes by *Pst* DC3000 (avrB) infection was significantly inhibited in *big* mutants (Fig. 1f, g). Next we determined SA concentration after infection in WT and *big* mutants. The data in Fig. 1(h) show that both mutants accumulated much less SA than WT at 48 h post inoculation. We further examined the expression of SA biosynthetic gene *ICS1* and found that the induction of *ICS1* was compromised in *big* mutants (Fig. S1d). These data suggest that BIG is involved in the control of SA biosynthesis during infection. Together, these results indicate that *BIG* is required for full resistance against both virulent and avirulent bacteria in Arabidopsis.

The expression of *BIG* is strongly downregulated by JA, which in turn regulates JA production and responses

We used Arabidopsis expressing a BIG promoter-driven β-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter (pBIG:GUS) (Guo et al., 2013) to show that BIG promoter activity is detectable in most plant organs and tissues in line with previous work (Gil et al., 2001; Yamaguchi et al., 2007; Guo et al., 2013). Interestingly, we found that the expression of the BIG gene was strongly downregulated by exogenous MeJA (Fig. 2a). By contrast, SA and auxin (IAA) triggered no appreciable change of BIG gene expression consistent with existing reports (Ruegger et al., 1997; Gil et al., 2001; Guo et al., 2013). Q-PCR analysis revealed that BIG was rapidly downregulated and remained low after spraying with 100 µM MeJA. The reduction in BIG transcription induced by MeJA was abolished in the JA co-receptor mutant coil-1 mutant (Fig. 2b). Infection with B. cinerea (Bc) and mechanical injury, both expected to result in increased JA (Wasternack & Kombrink, 2009), brought about reductions in BIG expression (Fig. 2c,d). BIG downregulation could clearly be detected as early as 10 min (the earliest time point we examined) after wounding treatment. Transcription was reduced to a lowest point at 1 h post treatment, but returned to baseline at the 2 h time point, consistent with observations of Koo et al. (2009). Upon close inspection, the induced expression patterns of JAV1, an experimental control and an early response gene in JA signaling (Hu et al., 2013) are opposite to BIG (Fig. 2e), suggesting BIG and JAV1 are likely to be co-regulated. Together, these data suggest that BIG expression can be significantly suppressed by JAs and the suppression requires a functional COI1.



Fig. 1 Stomatal immunity and SA induction were compromised by mutations in Arabidopsis *BIG* gene. (a) Representative images showing stomata of *BIG* mutants and wild-type (WT) plants after incubation with *Pst* DC3000. Images were taken on 2.5 h light-preincubated epidermal peels, incubated for 1 h with bacterial suspensions *Pst* DC3000 (10^{8} CFU ml⁻¹). Scale bar, 5 μ m. (b-c) *Pst*-induced stomatal closure is corrupted in *BIG* mutants. Stomatal apertures were measured on 2.5 h light-preincubated epidermal peels, incubated for 1 h with bacterial suspensions *Pst* DC3000 (*avrB*) (10^{8} CFU ml⁻¹). Values are mean \pm SD (*n* = 120). (d) *BIG* mutants support more *Pst* DC3000 growth. Five-week-old plants were sprayed with a bacterial suspension containing 5×10^{8} CFU ml⁻¹ in 10 mM MgCl₂ with 0.02% Silwet L-77. Bacterial growth was assessed 3 d after inoculation. (e) *BIG* mutants are more susceptible to *Pst* DC3000 (*avrB*) infection. Five-week-old plants are sprayed with a bacterial suspension containing 5×10^{8} CFU ml⁻¹ in 10 mM MgCl₂ with 0.02% Silwet L-77. Bacterial growth was assessed 3 d after inoculation. (f,g) Mutations in *BIG* gene inhibit *PR1* and *PR5* gene induction in response to *Pst* DC3000 (*avrB*). Treatment was performed as in (e), and the relative transcript levels of *PR1* and *PR5* were measured in WT and *BIG* mutants plants by Q-PCR assay after 48 h inoculation using *ACT3* as the internal control. (h) The induction of SA accumulation by *Pst* DC3000 (*avrB*) infection in *BIG* mutants is compromised compared with WT. Treatment was performed as in (e), and SA content was measured in WT and *BIG* mutant plants after 48 h infection. All experiments were repeated at least three times. The shown result was a representative of three independent biological experiments. Data are shown as means \pm SD. Asterisks represent Student's *t*-test significance (*, *P* < 0.05; ***, *P* < 0.001), and the asterisks in (d,e) and (h) are shown when compared with the wi

Our data suggest that mutations in *BIG* result in reduced SA production. We next investigated whether JA synthesis was also affected in *big* mutants. We found that multiple genes for JA synthesis were expressed at higher levels in *big-I* than WT suggesting that JA production was increased in the mutant (Fig. S2a). This

suggestion was borne out when the levels of JA were measured. As shown in Fig. 2(f,g), before Bc inoculation or mechanical wounding, the levels of JAs including OPDA, JA and JA-Ile in *big* mutants were slightly yet consistently higher than those in WT. However, JA production increased dramatically after Bc



inoculation and the increase was statistically greater in *big* mutants (Fig. 2f). Likewise, *big* mutants accumulated more OPDA and JA-Ile than WT in response to mechanical wounding (Fig. 2g), suggesting BIG functions as a negative regulator of JAs

synthesis. This conclusion was reinforced by the elevated accumulation of JA and JA-Ile observed in *big* mutants after challenged with *Pst* DC3000 (avrB) (Fig. S2c). Intriguingly, expression levels of selected JA-responsive genes including several

New Phytologist (2019) **222:** 335–348 www.newphytologist.com

Fig. 2 The expression of BIG in Arabidopsis is strongly downregulated by JA which in turn regulates JA production and responses. (a) MeJA treatment suppresses GUS activity in *pBIG*:GUS transgenic plants. GUS staining of 5-d-old seedlings without any supplement as a control (I) or with 50 µM ABA (II), 10 µM ACC (III), 20 µM MeJA (IV), 25 nM IAA (V), and 50 mM Ca²⁺ (VI) for 24 h. Scale bar, 2 mm. (b) Jasmonate suppresses BIG expression in a COI1dependent manner. Four-week-old Col-0 and coi1-1 plants grown in soil were sprayed with 100 µM MeJA, and BIG transcript levels were analyzed at 24 h after MeJA treatment using Q-PCR assays. (c) B. cinerea infection significantly downregulates BIG gene expression. Four-week-old soil-grown Col-0 plants were inoculated with an 8-ul droplet of B. cinerea spore suspension at a concentration of 1.5×10^6 spores ml⁻¹, and BIG transcript levels in response to B. cinerea infection at the indicated times were analyzed using Q-PCR assays. (d) Mechanical injury significantly downregulates BIG expression. Q-PCR analysis of BIG transcript levels after wounding treatment at the indicated times. (e) Mechanical wounding significantly induces JAV1 expression. Q-PCR analysis of JAV1 transcript levels in response to wounding treatment at the indicated times. (f) BIG mutation promotes induction of JA production by B. cinerea infection. Four-week-old Col-0, big-1, doc1-1 mutant plants were droplet inoculated at a concentration of 1.5×10^6 spores ml⁻¹, and OPDA, JA, JA-Ile contents in rosette leaves of Col-0 and BIG mutants were analyzed at 48 h inoculation. (g) BIG mutation promotes induction of JA production by mechanical wounding. OPDA, JA, and JA-Ile contents in rosette leaves of Col-0 and BIG mutants were measured at 1 h after wounding treatment. (h,i) Introduction of the coi1-2 mutation in big-1 mutant partly restores primary root length. Root growth and length of 7-d-old seedlings Col-0, big-1, coi1-2 big-1, coi1-2 grown on 1/2MS medium plates. Scale bar, 1 cm. (j,k) Introduction of the coi1-2 mutation in big-1 mutant partly restores plant growth and biomass production. The aerial part of 5-wk-old Col-0, big-1, coi1-2 big-1, coi1-2 were subjected to the fresh weight measurement. Scale bar, 1 cm. (I,m) Introduction of the coi1-2 mutation in big-1 mutant partly restores apical hook formation. The apical hook curvature of 4-d-old etiolated Arabidopsis seedlings including Col-0, big-1, coi1-2 big-1, coi1-2 grown in the dark on 1/2MS medium was measured using IMAGEJ. Scale bar, 2 mm. All experiments were repeated at least three times. The shown result was a representative of three independent biological experiments. Data are shown as means \pm SD. Asterisks represent Student's t-test significance (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001), and the asterisks in (c) are shown when compared with 0 d, the asterisks in (f) and (g) are shown when compared with the wild-type.

members of *JAZ* gene family (*JAZ1*, *JAZ5* and *JAZ10*), the general repressor *TOPLESS*, and the *CYP94B3* gene which encodes a JA-Ile 12-hydroxylase that catalyzes the conversion of JA-Ile into the biologically inactive 12-hydroxy-JA-Ile (Chini *et al.*, 2007; Thines *et al.*, 2007; Yan *et al.*, 2007; Szemenyei *et al.*, 2008; Kitaoka *et al.*, 2011) in *big* mutants were significantly higher than those in the WT (Fig. S2b). These negative regulators of JA responses are likely activated to fine-tune the JA pathway and protect *big* mutants from the hyper-activated JA signaling (Chini *et al.*, 2007).

Mutations in BIG restrict overall plant growth and development, characterized by a reduction in organ elongation and lateral root number as well as a decrease in apical dominance. These defects are well known to be associated with auxin and, as a result, considerable attention has been placed on the links between BIG gene and auxin action, particularly, auxin transport (Ruegger et al., 1997; Gil et al., 2001; Paciorek et al., 2005; Kazan & Manners, 2009; Qi et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2013). Given that JA and auxin pathways interact extensively (Kazan & Manners, 2009, 2012; Pérez & Goossens, 2013), and BIG mutations have elevated JA, we next asked whether the increased JAs contribute to the aforementioned defects in plant development. To test this, we generated the *big-1 coi1-2* double mutant by genetic crossing *big-1* with coi1-2, a leaky coi1 mutant that is insensitive to JA (Xu et al., 2002). As shown in Fig. 2(h-k) and Fig. S3(c,d), coi1-2 allele significantly, although not fully, rescued the growth defects of big-1 as coi1-2 big-1 plants have longer primary roots, produce more shoot biomass and larger seeds. Likewise, the defect of apical hook development observed in big mutants was largely rescued by coi1-2 as the hook curvature angles of the double mutant coi1-2 big-1 was comparable with those of coi1-2 seedlings (Fig. 2l,m). In conclusion, the developmental defects found in *big* mutant alleles could be partially accounted for by increased JA accumulation. Our work also reveals a role of BIG in regulating apical hook formation that plays an essential role in seedling soil emergence after seed germination (Zhong et al., 2009, 2014), and hence is likely of agricultural importance.

To further investigate the function of BIG in JA signalling, we analyzed anthocyanin accumulation and leaf senescence in response to JA treatment. Anthocyanin accumulation is a hallmark of JA-induced responses (Franceschi & Grimes, 1991; Feys et al., 1994; Tamari et al., 1995). As shown in Fig. S2(d), strong anthocyanin pigmentation developed in seedlings of *big* mutants. We quantified this difference revealing that anthocyanin contents in big mutants were significantly higher (big-1, 7.3-fold and doc1-1, 4.1-fold) than WT (Fig. S2e). Exogenous JAs promote leaf senescence in Arabidopsis (He et al., 2002). When we incubated detached leaves from big mutants and WT plants in sterile water with or without 100 µM MeJA, as shown in Fig. S2(f,g), leaves from the mutants displayed a rapid yellowing suggestive of an accelerated senescence or earlier onset of senescence than WT. We also tested anthocyanin accumulation and leaf senescence in coil-2 big-1 and found that COI1 mutation can alleviate the anthocyanin accumulation and leaf senescence of big-1 in the presence of MeJA (Fig. S2h-k). In conclusion, BIG modulates a multitude of plant developmental processes through JA activity.

BIG functions in jasmonate-mediated plant defenses

JA signalling often conditions plant resistance to biotic stresses (Thomma *et al.*, 1998; Rowe *et al.*, 2010). Because BIG deficiency promotes JAs production, we expected the JA-mediated plant resistance to pathogens should be enhanced. However, when droplet inoculated with a 5 μ l suspension of *Bc* spores, which induces JA-dependent defense responses (Glazebrook, 2005), much more severe necrosis phenotypes were observed in *big* mutants than in WT, as the average diameter of necrotic lesion in infected leaves of *big* mutants was much larger (Fig. 3a, b), suggesting that BIG positively regulates plant resistance against *Bc* infection. On the face of it, this is rather counterintuitive. To substantiate the outcome of this fungal inoculation, we evaluated the pathogen growth by trypan blue staining whereby hyphal structures and dead plant cells would be stained blue. We found that at 16 h post infection with *Bc*, hyphae growth in *big*



mutants were much more pronounced compared with WT (Fig. 3c). Together, these data indicate that *BIG* mutations dampen plant defenses against necrotrophic fungus, consistent with an early report on *A. brassicicola* (Qi *et al.*, 2012). Plants are frequently attacked by herbivores and are protected by JA-

inducible defenses (Wasternack & Hause, 2013). We performed two-choice tests to determine whether *BIG* is required for plant defense against insect feeding, and found that mutant plants retained significantly fewer *S. exigua* larvae when larvae were given the choice between *big* mutant and WT plants (Fig. 3d). A

New Phytologist (2019) **222:** 335–348 www.newphytologist.com

Fig. 3 BIG functions in jasmonate-mediated plant defenses in Arabidopsis. (a) *BIG* mutants are more susceptible to *B. cinerea* infection. Four-week-old Col-0 (wild-type, WT), and *big-1*, *doc1-1* mutant plants were droplet inoculated at a concentration of 1.5×10^6 spores ml⁻¹, symptoms on rosette leaves were analyzed 4 d after inoculation. Scale bar, 1 cm. (b) Average diameter of lesion from Col-0 and *BIG* mutants 4 d following inoculation was analyzed from (a). (c) *BIG* mutants are more susceptible to *B. cinerea* infection. Treatment was performed as in (a), and trypan blue staining indicating fungal growth on leaves of Col-0 and *BIG* mutants was performed at 16 h inoculation. Scale bar represents 20 µm. (d) *BIG* mutation inhibits the preference of larvae of *S. exigua* in the two-choice tests. In total, 60 larvae of *S. exigua* were placed equidistant from the two plants (WT vs *big-1* or WT vs *doc1-1*), and the numbers of larvae on each plant were recorded after 24 h incubation. (e) *BIG* mutation inhibits the preference of aphids in the two-choice test. Treatment was performed as in (d), and the numbers of aphids on each plant were recorded after 24 h incubation. (f) Loss of BIG inhibits ERF1-branch of JA pathway. Four-week-old Col-0 and *BIG* mutant plants grown in soil were sprayed with 100 µM MeJA, and fold change of JA-responsive genes *ERF1*, *ORA59*, and *PDF1.2* dependent on JA and ET in WT and *BIG* mutants 6 h following MeJA treatment was performed as in (f), and fold changes of JA-responsive genes *VSP1*, *VSP2*, and *MYC2* in WT and *BIG* mutant plants 6 h following MeJA treatment were analyzed by Q-PCR assay. *ACT3* was used as the internal control. (g) Dysregulated *BIG* mutant plants 6 h following MeJA treatment were analyzed by Q-PCR assays. *ACT3* was used as the internal control. All experiments were repeated at least three times. The shown result was a representative of three independent biological experiments. Data are shown as means \pm SD. Asterisks represent Student's

similar feeding repelling effect of *BIG* mutation was observed when aphids (*M. persicae*) were tested (Fig. 3e).

On the basis of our discovery that BIG positively and negatively regulates plant resistance to necrotrophic Bc and phytophagous insects, respectively, we hypothesized that BIG might orchestrate the antagonism between two parallel MYC2- and ERF1/ORA59-branches in the JA pathway that determine resistance to pests and pathogens. To test this hypothesis, we first examined the expression of VSP2 and PDF1.2, marker genes of these two branches, and found that in the presence of $100 \,\mu\text{M}$ MeJA, the transcripts of PDF1.2 as well as ERF1 and ORA59 were substantially increased at 6 h post MeJA treatment, but the induced increments were much less in *big* mutants than in WT (Fig. 3f), implicating impaired ERF1-branch in big mutants. However, in marked contrast, the induced dosage of JAresponsive genes VSP1, VSP2 and MYC2 in big mutants by MeJA treatment was significantly higher than those in WT (Fig. 3g), suggesting that the MYC2 branch was potentiated by BIG deficiency. Notably, the overexpression of THIONIN2.1 (THI2.1, Fig. S3a) in big mutants might account for the enhanced resistance to Fusarium wilt disease caused by the root-infecting fungal pathogen, F. oxysporum (Kazan & Manners, 2009; Thatcher et al., 2012). To further ascertain the upregulation of the MYC2 branch, we next investigated whether BIG affects MYC2 protein level. For this purpose, we generated p35S:MYC2-4Myc/big-1 by genetic crossing the transgenic plants harboring the p35S: MYC2-4Myc construct (Zhai et al., 2013) with big-1 plants. The result presented in Fig. S3(b) indicates that MYC2 protein abundance was higher in p35S:MYC2-4Myc big-1 compared with p35S:MYC2-4Myc transgenic plants, suggesting MYC2 turnover is perturbed by BIG deficiency. Taken together, these results suggest that fully functional BIG is required for tightly regulating MYC2 activity and JA signaled plant resistance to pests and pathogens.

Disruption of *BIG* gene suppresses ethylene accumulation

ET accumulation can be rapidly induced to modulate the function of JA pathway (von Dahl *et al.*, 2007). Plant immune responses against necrotrophs are co-controlled by JA and ET as well exemplified in mutants *coi1-1* (JA insensitive) and *ein2-1* (ET insensitive), which both are more susceptible to fungal infection than WT Arabidopsis (Penninckx et al., 1998; Thomma et al., 1998, 1999; Zhu et al., 2011; Wasternack, 2014). The observation that big mutants accumulate more JA, but are less resistant to Bc invasion, prompted us to investigate whether the ET pathway was compromised by BIG disruption. ET synthesis genes displayed much lower expression levels in *big* mutants than WT (Fig. S4a). ET accumulation induced by either mechanical injury or Bc inoculation in big mutants is less pronounced than that in WT (Fig. 4a,b). This finding is compatible with the reported hyposensitivity of big mutants such as umb1, tir3-1 and asa1 to ET (Kanyuka et al., 2003). Consistently, resistance to B. cinerea in big mutant lines was restored when treated with the ethylene precursor 1-aminocyclopropane-carboxylic acid (ACC) (Fig. 4c,d). These results suggest that reduced resistance against Bc infection observed in big mutants can be ascribed to the ET deficiency.

Previous studies have shown that photo-oxidative damage is associated with increased levels of protochlorophyllide, a phototoxic intermediate in chlorophyll synthesis (Reinbothe et al., 1996; Huq et al., 2004; Zhong et al., 2009, 2014). ET facilitates cotyledon greening of etiolated seedlings upon light irradiation by activating EIN3/EIL1-induced expression of protochlorophyllide oxidoreductase A and B (PORA/B) (Zhong et al., 2009), therefore, we next examined whether BIG is required in this process. Figure 4(e) shows that BIG mutations caused a significant reduction in the rate of cotyledon greening with respect to WT seedlings. The levels of protochlorophyllide in big mutant seedlings were significantly higher than WT (Fig. 4f). The expression levels of PORA/B gene were significantly reduced in big mutants (Fig. S4b). Given that BIG mutations also impair the formation of apical hook, it is tempting to speculate that BIG function is required for seedling soil emergence and survival from photooxidation, which are two key adaptive traits of great importance in agriculture.

Discussion

Plants have evolved an intricate system to restrict JA overactivation under stress-free growth conditions, however they also have the capacity to rapidly produce JA under stress (Yan *et al.*, 2018). Here we show that BIG is a regulator of the JA pathway and plays an integral role in the concomitant activation of JAs



Fig. 4 Disruption of *BIG* gene suppresses ethylene accumulation in Arabidopsis. (a) *BIG* mutation inhibits ethylene accumulation in response to *B. cinerea* infection. Two-week-old seedlings grown in 40 ml GC vials were inoculated with *B. cinerea* spore suspension at a concentration of 4.0×10^5 spores ml⁻¹, and ET content in the headspace of the GC vials was measured at 48 h inoculation by gas chromatography. (b) *BIG* mutation inhibits ethylene accumulation induced by wounding treatment. Two-week-old seedlings grown in 40 ml GC vials were injured with forceps, and ET production in the headspace of the GC vials was determined at 24 h after wounding treatment. (c) Application of ACC can restore the resistance to *B. cinerea* in *big* mutants. Four-week-old Col-0, *big-1*, *doc1-1* mutant plants were treated for 48 h with spraying 0.5 mM of the ethylene precursor 1-aminocyclopropane-carboxylic acid (ACC) or with water (the solvent) as the mock control. Then the ACC treated and control plants were droplet inoculated at a concentration of 1.5×10^6 spores ml⁻¹, symptoms on rosette leaves were analyzed 4 d after inoculation. Scale bar, 1 cm. (d) Average diameter of lesion from Col-0 and *BIG* mutants 4 d following inoculation was analyzed from (c). (e) *BIG* mutants showed reduction of cotyledon greening compared to wilddowntype (WT) plants. Greening rate of 6-d-old etiolated seedlings followed by 2 d of light exposure was analyzed. (f) *BIG* mutants displayed higher protochlorophyllide fluorescence at 634 nm of 6-d-old etiolated seedlings was determined. All experiments were repeated at least three times. The shown result was a representative of three independent biological experiments. Data are shown as means \pm SD. Asterisks represent Student's *t*-test significance (*, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01) when compared with the wild-type.

and ET synthesis in response to mechanical and biotic injury. BIG deficiency results in an increase of endogenous JAs and enhanced JAs sensitivity, which not only disturbed the antagonism of JAs and SA actions but tips the balance between the two parallel MYC2 and ERF1 branches in the JA pathway, making *big* mutants more susceptible to fungal pathogen *B. cinerea* and bacterium *P. syringae* but less attractive to herbivorous insects. The suppressive effect on auxin transport widely observed in various *big* mutants appears to be brought about by the JA pathway, as JAs negatively modulate the intracellular trafficking and turnover of PIN proteins and thus the auxin transport capacities (Paciorek *et al.*, 2005; Pan *et al.*, 2009; Sun *et al.*, 2009, 2011). A recent study lends further support to this idea, as *A. brassicicola* infection that activates JAs accumulation also reduces protein levels of the PIN family and therefore reduces auxin transport rate (Qi *et al.*, 2012). *max4* mutant could restore the auxin transport of *tir3-1* (an independent allele of *big* mutant) (Prusinkiewicza *et al.*, 2009) but not the developmental defects including dwarfism, over-branching and delayed flowering that are also characteristics of several JA-sensitive mutants such as *cev1, cex1, cet1*, and *joe2* that were all characterized by elevated JA contents (Kunkel & Brooks, 2002; Bonaventure *et al.*, 2007; Qi *et al.*, 2012). Furthermore, the upregulation of *FLC* transcription and delayed flowering in *big* mutants (Kanyuka *et al.*, 2003;

Ebine *et al.*, 2012) correspond well to the mechanistic insights into the mode of action of two transcription factors TOE1 and TOE2, which regulate flowering time through JA pathway (Zhai *et al.*, 2015).

Importantly, mutations in the BIG gene recapitulate the links between JAs and other hormones as well as light pathways (De Wit et al., 2013; Wasternack & Hause, 2013). Independent big alleles, asa1 and umb1 confer a significant reduction in sensitivity to cytokinin in root growth inhibition assays, whereas, asa1, umb1 and tir3-1 as well as ga6 (Sponsel et al., 1997; Kanyuka et al., 2003) are affected in their response to gibberellin, which mutually antagonizes JAs in regulating seedling growth and resistance to pathogens via the interactions of JAZ and DELLA proteins (Hou et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2012). doc1-1 and tir3-1 have altered expression of light-regulated genes when grown in darkness (Gil et al., 2001), while the asa1 mutant suppresses the constitutive shade avoidance syndrome of *phyA phyB* mutant as well as the low R/FR light-induced shade avoidance syndrome in WT (Kanyuka et al., 2003). Therefore, BIG provides an additional connection between light signalling and JA pathway in plant growth and defense responses. BIG warrants recognition as a key regulator of JA signal pathway.

The induction of ET by mechanical and biotic injury is compromised by BIG disruption, consistent with the reduced responsiveness of tir3-1, asa1 and umb1 to ET (Kanyuka et al., 2003), suggesting that BIG acts as a signal integration point via which JA and ET pathways are coordinated. Currently, we still know little information about how ET abundance is affected by BIG deficiency. Given the indispensable role of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the full activation of SA (Durrant & Dong, 2004; Torres et al., 2006) and ET production (Wang et al., 2002), as well as in bacteria- and LPS-induced stomatal closure (Shang-Guan et al., 2018), which all are damaged by BIG deficiency. In particular, the plant resistance to avirulent bacteria that is dependent to ROS signalling is more dramatically affected by BIG disruption relative to virulent bacteria. In addition, doc1-1 and rao3, two independent big mutant alleles, displayed misregulated peroxidases and AOX1a (Gil et al., 2001; Ivanova et al., 2014), suggesting that BIG might have a large effect on redox regulation and ROS scavenging capacity. Furthermore, a potential role for BIG in ROS signalling is supported by characterization of two independent mutants, doc1-1 and big-j588. Each has a substitution in the conserved cysteine residues in the conserved UBR box motif (Gil et al., 2001; Guo et al., 2013; Parsons et al., 2015), which is thought to provide a mechanism that senses and regulates the oxidative stress response in plants through the redox dependent thiol-disulphide exchange (Rhee et al., 2000; Grek et al., 2013; Song et al., 2014b; Parsons et al., 2015). It is worth a mention that JA has recently been reported to positively mediate elevated CO2-induced stomatal closure (Geng et al., 2016), and elevated CO₂ impacts on plants resistance via redox signaling to activate JA pathway (Mhamdi & Noctor, 2016; Williams et al., 2018). Whether the regulatory function of BIG in CO₂-induced stomatal closure as we have recently demonstrated (He et al., 2018) is mediated by a similar JA/SA-redox signaling mechanism awaits further study. Another possibility is that BIG contains many

conserved domains that may contribute to the control of ET synthesis through protein-protein interactions (Gil *et al.*, 2001). Nonetheless, additional work is required to better understand the possible role of BIG in regulating not only the cellular ROS homeostasis but also the orchestrated production of JA and ET in response to biotic and mechanical injury.

BIG is ubiquitously expressed in most organs at varied levels, but is rapidly decreased in floral organs upon transition to the reproductive phase (Gil et al., 2001; Yamaguchi et al., 2007; Guo et al., 2013). This observed reduction of BIG expression during the development of flowers is compatible with the observation that JAs accumulate to high levels for floral development and defense (Li et al., 2017). As a negative regulator of JAs synthesis, the expression of BIG is controlled at the transcriptional level by JAs, suggesting that there might exist a threshold level of JAs that is needed to trigger the downregulation of BIG and abrogate the inhibitory effects of BIG on the JA production, which in turn promotes the accumulation of JAs. This idea fits well with the observed Ca²⁺/CaM-dependent, but JA-signaling-independent 'initiation activation' of JA biosynthesis, which elevates JA content to a moderate level before further triggering JA production to a sufficiently high level through the 'feedback regulation' mechanisms to cause a JA burst (Yan et al., 2018).

A wide range of JAs-regulated responses both developmental and defensive is altered in big mutants in a COI1-dependent manner, suggesting that BIG acts relatively upstream in the JA signalling pathway. This view is compatible with the finding that genes BIG and JAV1 are co-regulated and suggests, as for JAV1, that BIG is an early component in the JA pathway. Therefore, we propose that, at resting state, a ubiquitous and relatively highly expressed BIG, together with JAZs, the JJW complex and CYP94B3 constitute a multi-layer of tight control system that helps the plant restrict the production of JAs and therefore to minimize their inhibitory effect on plant growth and development. However, in response to certain development cues and environmental stresses, plants activate JAs synthesis and once the accumulation of JAs reaches to a threshold level, BIG expression will be downregulated, and the repression effect of BIG on JA production is hence removed. This removal results in a rapid JA increase that further downregulates BIG expression, and which in turn amplifies the JA increase, thereby forming a feedback loop to accelerate JA buildup and hence mount a fast, strong stress adaptive response. Another notable function of BIG is its involvement in modulating hook development and PORA/B transcription. Hook formation plays an essential role in seedling soil emergence after seed germination, and the downregulation of PORA/B in big mutants will probably lead to a lack of POR activity, which is essential for chlorophyll synthesis. Therefore, the BIG mutation might not only hamper prompt seedling emergence from soil, and the conversion of protochlorophyllide to chlorophyll, but also prevent the timely scavenging of ROS upon light irradiation. As a consequence, this will cause photooxidative damage and even premature seedling death according to the reports by Zhong et al. (2009, 2014). Whether BIG function in Arabidopsis translates well to monocot crop plants such as rice merits further investigation. Taken together, we propose that



JA is likely to play a central role in BIG regulating plant defense responses and the hormonal balance between JA, ET, SA, and auxin as well as the plant adaptive response to various environmental changes such as light and CO_2 concentration to reconcile the growth and defense dilemma in plants.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Professors Suiwen Hou (Lanzhou University), Daoxin Xie (Tsinghua University) for sharing Arabidopsis seeds of *pBIG*:GUS and *coi1-2*, respectively. This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2015CB942900) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31470360, 31770282 and 31670373) (to Y-KL, CYL and Y-QF) and the UK Biotechnological and Biological Sciences Research Council (to AMH).

Author contributions

Y-KL and R-XZ designed research. R-XZ, SCG, JJH, SCL, YHH, HD, ZML and RC performed research. Y-KL, R-XZ, AMH, Y-QF, LZX and CYL analyzed data. Y-KL, R-XZ and AMH wrote the paper. R-XZ and SCG contributed equally to this work.

ORCID

Yu-Qi Feng D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1107-5385 Alistair M. Hetherington D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6060-9203

Chuanyou Li D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0202-3890 Yun-Kuan Liang D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5869-5931 Lizhong Xiong D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0490-1474

References

- Bonaventure G, Gfeller A, Proebsting WM, Hörtensteiner S, Chételat A, Martinoia E, Farmer EE. 2007. A gain-of-function allele of TPC1 activates oxylipin biogenesis after leaf wounding in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Journal* 49: 889–898.
- Chen ML, Huang YQ, Liu JQ, Yuan BF, Feng YQ. 2011. Highly sensitive profiling assay of acidic plant hormones using a novel mass probe by capillary electrophoresis-time of flight-mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography B* 879: 938–944.
- Chen H, Xue L, Chintamanani S, Germain H, Lin H, Cui H, Cai R, Zuo J, Tang X, Li X *et al.* 2009. ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE3 and ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE3-LIKE1 repress SALICYLIC ACID INDUCTION DEFICIENT2 expression to negatively regulate plant innate immunity in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 21: 2527–2540.
- Chini A, Fonseca S, Fernández G, Adie B, Chico JM, Lorenzo O, García-Casado G, López-Vidriero I, Lozano FM, Ponce MR et al. 2007. The JAZ family of repressors is the missing link in jasmonate signalling. *Nature* 448: 666–671.
- Cui H, Wang Y, Xue L, Chu J, Yan C, Fu J, Chen M, Innes RW, Zhou JM. 2010. A Pseudomonas syringae protein perturbs Arabidopsis hormone signalling by activating MAP KINASE 4. Cell Host & Microbe 7: 164–175.

von Dahl CC, Winz RA, Halitschke R, Kühnemann F, Gase K, Baldwin IT. 2007. Tuning the herbivore-induced ethylene burst: the role of transcript accumulation and ethylene perception in *Nicotiana attenuata*. *The Plant Journal* **51**: 293–307.

- De Wit M, Spoel SH, Sanchez-Perez GF, Gommers CMM, Pieterse CMJ, Voesenek LACJ, Pierik R. 2013. Perception of low red: far-red ratio comprises both salicylic acid- and jasmonic acid-dependent pathogen defences in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Journal* 75: 90–103.
- Deikman J, Hammer PE. 1995. Induction of anthocyanin accumulation by cytokinins in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Physiology* **108**: 47–57.
- Delaney TP, Uknes S, Vernooij B, Friedrich L, Weymann K, Negrotto D, Gaffney T, Gut-Rella M, Kessmann H, Ward E et al. 1994. A central role of salicylic acid in plant disease resistance. *Science* 266: 1247–1250.
- Doares SH, Narváez-Vásquez J, Conconi A, Ryan CA. 1995. Salicylic acid inhibits synthesis of proteinase inhibitors in tomato leaves induced by systemin and jasmonic acid. *Plant Physiology* 108: 1741–1746.
- Du H, Wu N, Cui F, You L, Li X, Xiong L. 2014. A homolog of ETHYLENE OVERPRODUCER, OsETOL1, differentially modulates drought and submergence tolerance in rice. *The Plant Journal* 78: 834–849.
- Durrant WE, Dong X. 2004. Systemic acquired resistance. Annual Review of Phytopathology 42: 185–209.
- Ebine K, Uemura T, Nakano A, Ueda T. 2012. Flowering time modulation by a vacuolar SNARE via FLOWERING LOCUS C in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *PLoS ONE7*: e42239.
- Faulkner C, Robatzek S. 2012. Plants and pathogens: putting infection strategies and defence mechanisms on the map. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 15: 699–707.
- Feys BJ, Benedetti CE, Penfold CN, Turner JG. 1994. Arabidopsis mutants selected for resistance to the phytotoxin coronatine are male sterile, insensitive to methyl jasmonate, and resistant to a bacterial pathogen. *Plant Cell* 6: 751– 759.
- Franceschi VR, Grimes HD. 1991. Induction of soybean vegetative storage proteins and anthocyanins by low-level atmospheric methyl jasmonate. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 88: 6745–6749.
- Geng S, Misra BB, de Armas E, Huhman DV, Alborn HT, Sumner LW, Chen S. 2016. Jasmonate-mediated stomatal closure under elevated CO₂ revealed by time-resolved metabolomics. *The Plant Journal* 88: 947–962.
- Gil P, Dewey E, Friml J, Zhao Y, Snowden KC, Putterill J, Palme K, Estelle M, Chory J. 2001. BIG: a calossin-like protein required for polar auxin transport in Arabidopsis. *Genes & Development* 15: 1985–1997.
- Glauser G, Dubugnon L, Mousavi SA, Rudaz S, Wolfender JL, Farmer EE. 2009. Velocity estimates for signal propagation leading to systemic jasmonic acid accumulation in wounded Arabidopsis. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 284: 34506–34513.
- Glazebrook J. 2005. Contrasting mechanisms of defense against biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 43: 205– 227.
- Grek CL, Zhang J, Manevich Y, Townsend DM, Tew KD. 2013. Causes and consequences of cysteine S-glutathionylation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 288: 26497–26504.
- Guo X, Lu W, Ma Y, Qin Q, Hou S. 2013. The *BIG* gene is required for auxinmediated organ growth in Arabidopsis. *Planta* 237: 1135–1147.
- He P, Chintamanani S, Chen Z, Zhu L, Kunkel BN, Alfano JR, Tang X, Zhou JM. 2004. Activation of a COI1-dependent pathway in Arabidopsis by *Pseudomonas syringae* type III effectors and coronatine. *The Plant Journal* 37: 589–602.
- He Y, Fukushige H, Hildebrand DF, Gan S. 2002. Evidence supporting a role of jasmonic acid in Arabidopsis leaf senescence. *Plant Physiology* **128**: 876–884.
- He J, Liang Y-K. 2018. Stomata. eLS, doi: 10.1002/9780470015902.a0026526.
- He J, Zhang RX, Peng K, Tagliavia C, Li S, Xue S, Liu A, Hu H, Zhang J, Hubbard KE et al. 2018. The BIG protein distinguishes the process of CO₂induced stomatal closure from the inhibition of stomatal opening by CO₂. *New Phytologist* 218: 232–241.
- Hou X, Lee LYC, Xia K, Yan Y, Yu H. 2010. DELLAs modulate jasmonate signalling via competitive binding to JAZs. *Developmental Cell* 19: 884–894.
- Hu P, Zhou W, Cheng Z, Fan M, Wang L, Xie D. 2013. *JAV1* controls jasmonate-regulated plant defense. *Molecular Cell* 50: 504–515.

Huq E, Al-Sady B, Hudson M, Kim C, Apel K, Quail PH. 2004. Phytochromeinteracting factor 1 is a critical bHLH regulator of chlorophyll biosynthesis. *Science* **305**: 1937–1941.

Ivanova A, Law SR, Narsai R, Duncan O, Lee JH, Zhang B, Van Aken O, Radomiljac JD, van der Merwe M, Yi K et al. 2014. A functional antagonistic relationship between auxin and mitochondrial retrograde signalling regulates alternative oxidase1a expression in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* 165: 1233– 1254.

Kanyuka K, Praekelt U, Franklin KA, Billingham OE, Hooley R, Whitelam GC, Halliday KJ. 2003. Mutations in the huge Arabidopsis gene *BIG* affect a range of hormone and light responses. *The Plant Journal* 35: 57–70.

Kasajima I, Ohkama-Ohtsu N, Ide Y, Hayashi H, Yoneyama T, Suzuki Y, Naito S, Fujiwara T. 2007. The *BIG* gene is involved in regulation of sulfur deficiency-responsive genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Physiologia Plantarum* 129: 351–363.

Kazan K, Manners JM. 2009. Linking development to defense: auxin in plantpathogen interactions. *Trends in Plant Sciences* 14: 373–382.

Kazan K, Manners JM. 2012. JAZ repressors and the orchestration of phytohormone crosstalk. *Trends in Plant Sciences* 17: 22–31.

Kim TH, Böhmer M, Hu H, Nishimura N, Schroeder JI. 2010. Guard cell signal transduction network: advances in understanding abscisic acid, CO₂, and Ca²⁺ signalling. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **61**: 561–591.

Kitaoka N, Matsubara T, Sato M, Takahashi K, Wakuta S, Kawaide H, Matsui H, Nabeta K, Matsuura H. 2011. Arabidopsis *CYP94B3* encodes jasmonyl-L-isoleucine 12-hydroxylase, a key enzyme in the oxidative catabolism of jasmonate. *Plant Cell Physiology* 52: 1757–1765.

Kloek AP, Verbsky ML, Sharma SB, Schoelz JE, Vogel J, Klessig DF, Kunkel BN. 2001. Resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae* conferred by an *Arabidopsis thaliana* coronatine-insensitive (coi1) mutation occurs through two distinct mechanisms. *The Plant Journal* 26: 509–522.

Koo AJK, Cooke TF, Howe GA. 2011. Cytochrome P450 CYP94B3 mediates catabolism and inactivation of the plant hormone jasmonoyl-L-isoleucine. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 108: 9298–9303.

Koo AJK, Gao X, Jones AD, Howe GA. 2009. A rapid wound signal activates the systemic synthesis of bioactive jasmonates in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Journal* 59: 974–986.

Koornneef A, Pieterse CM. 2008. Cross talk in defense signaling. *Plant Physiology* 146: 839–844.

Kunkel BN, Brooks DM. 2002. Cross talk between signalling pathways in pathogen defense. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 5: 325–331.

Li HM, Altschmied L, Chory J. 1994. Arabidopsis mutants define downstream branches in the phototransduction pathway. *Genes & Development* 8: 339–349.

Li R, Wang M, Wang Y, Schuman MC, Weinhold A, Schäfer M, Jiménez-Alemán GH, Barthel A, Baldwin IT. 2017. Flower-specific jasmonate signalling regulates constitutive floral defenses in wild tobacco. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 114: E7205–E7214.

Lichtenthaler HK. 1987. Chlorophylls and carotenoids-pigments of photosynthetic biomembranes. *Methods in Enzymology* 148: 350–382.

López-Bucio J, Hernández-Abreu E, Sánchez-Calderón L, Pérez-Torres A, Rampey RA, Bartel B, Herrera-Estrella L. 2005. An auxin transport independent pathway is involved in phosphate stress-induced root architectural alterations in Arabidopsis. Identification of *BIG* as a mediator of auxin in pericycle cell activation. *Plant Physiology* 137: 681–691.

Melotto M, Underwood W, Koczan J, Nomura K, He SY. 2006. Plant stomata function in innate immunity against bacterial invasion. *Cell* **126**: 969–980.

Mhamdi A, Noctor G. 2016. High CO₂ primes plant biotic stress defences through redox-linked pathways. *Plant Physiology* 172: 929–942.

Paciorek T, Zažímalová E, Ruthardt N, Petrášek J, Stierhof YD, Kleine-Vehn J, Morris DA, Emans N, Jürgens G, Geldner N et al. 2005. Auxin inhibits endocytosis and promotes its own efflux from cells. *Nature* 435: 1251–1256.

Pan J, Fujioka S, Peng J, Chen J, Li G, Chen R. 2009. The E3 ubiquitin ligase SCFTIR1/AFB and membrane sterols play key roles in auxin regulation of endocytosis, recycling, and plasma membrane accumulation of the auxin efflux transporter PIN2 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell* 21: 568–580.

Parsons K, Nakatani Y, Nguyen MD. 2015. p600/UBR4 in the central nervous system. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 72: 1149–1160.

Pauwels L, Morreel K, De Witte E, Lammertyn F, Van Montagu M, Boerjan W, Inzé D, Goossens A. 2008. Mapping methyl jasmonate-mediated transcriptional reprogramming of metabolism and cell cycle progression in cultured Arabidopsis cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 105: 1380–1385.

Penninckx IA, Thomma BP, Buchala A, Métraux JP, Broekaert WF. 1998. Concomitant activation of jasmonate and ET response pathways is required for induction of a plant defensin gene in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 10: 2103–2113.

Pérez A, Goossens A. 2013. Jasmonate signalling: a copycat of auxin signalling? *Plant, Cell & Environment* 36: 2071–2084.

Prusinkiewicza P, Crawfordb S, Smitha RS, Ljung K, Bennett T, Ongaro V, Leyser O. 2009. Control of bud activation by an auxin transport switch. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 106: 17431–17436.

Qi L, Yan J, Li Y, Jiang H, Sun J, Chen Q, Li H, Chu J, Yan C, Sun X et al. 2012. Arabidopsis thaliana plants differentially modulate auxin biosynthesis and transport during defense responses to the necrotrophic pathogen Alternaria brassicicola. New Phytologist 195: 872–882.

Reinbothe S, Reinbothe C, Apel K, Lebedev N. 1996. Evolution of chlorophyll biosynthesis-the challenge to survive photooxidation. *Cell* 86: 703–705.

Rhee SG, Bae YS, Lee SR, Kwon J. 2000. Hydrogen peroxide: a key messenger that modulates protein phosphorylation through cysteine oxidation. *Science Signaling* 2000: pe1.

Rowe HC, Walley JW, Corwin J, Chan EK, Dehesh K, Kliebenstein DJ. 2010. Deficiencies in jasmonate-mediated plant defense reveal quantitative variation in *Botrytis cinerea* pathogenesis. *PLoS Pathogens* 6: e1000861.

Ruegger M, Dewey E, Hobbie L, Brown D, Bernasconi P, Turner J, Muday G, Estelle M. 1997. Reduced naphthylphthalamic acid binding in the *tir3* mutant of Arabidopsis is associated with a reduction in polar auxin transport and diverse morphological defects. *Plant Cell* 9: 745–757.

Shang-Guan K, Wang M, Myint Phyu Sin Htwe N, Li P, Li Y, Qi F, Zhang D, Cao M, Kim C, Weng H *et al.* 2018. Lipopolysaccharides trigger two successive bursts of reactive oxygen species at distinct cellular locations. *Plant Physiology* 176: 2543–2556.

Song S, Huang H, Gao H, Wang J, Wu D, Liu X, Yang S, Zhai Q, Li C, Qi T et al. 2014a. Interaction between MYC2 and ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE3 modulates antagonism between jasmonate and ethylene signaling in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 26: 263–279.

Song Y, Miao Y, Song CP. 2014b. Behind the scenes: the roles of reactive oxygen species in guard cells. *New Phytologist* 201: 1121–1140.

Spoel SH, Dong X. 2008. Making sense of hormone crosstalk during plant immune responses. *Cell Host & Microbe* 3: 348–351.

Spoel SH, Koornneef A, Claessens SM, Korzelius JP, Van Pelt JA, Mueller MJ, Buchala AJ, Métrau J-P, Brown R, Kazan K et al. 2003. NPR1 modulates cross-talk between salicylate- and jasmonate-dependent defense pathways through a novel function in the cytosol. *Plant Cell* 15: 760–770.

Sponsel VM, Schmidt FW, Porter SG, Nakayama M, Kohlstruk S, Estelle M. 1997. Characterization of new gibberellin-responsive semidwarf mutants of Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* 115: 1009–1020.

Staswick PE, Tiryaki I. 2004. The oxylipin signal jasmonic acid is activated by an enzyme that conjugates it to isoleucine in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 16: 2117–2127.

Sun J, Chen Q, Qi L, Jiang H, Li S, Xu Y, Liu F, Zhou W, Pan J, Li X et al. 2011. Jasmonate modulates endocytosis and plasma membrane accumulation of the Arabidopsis PIN2 protein. *New Phytologist* 191: 360–375.

Sun J, Xu Y, Ye S, Jiang H, Chen Q, Liu F, Zhou W, Chen R, Li X, Tietz O et al. 2009. Arabidopsis ASA1 is important for jasmonate-mediated regulation of auxin biosynthesis and transport during lateral root formation. *Plant Cell* 21: 1495–1511.

Swiatek A, Lenjou M, Van Bockstaele D, Inzé D, Van Onckelen H. 2002. Differential effect of jasmonic acid and abscisic acid on cell cycle progression in tobacco BY-2 cells. *Plant Physiology* 128: 201–211.

Szemenyei H, Hannon M, Long JA. 2008. TOPLESS mediates auxin-dependent transcriptional repression during Arabidopsis embryogenesis. *Science* 319: 1384–1386.

Tamari G, Borochov A, Atzorn R, Weiss D. 1995. Methyl jasmonate induces pigmentation and flavonoid gene expression in petunia corollas: a possible role in wound response. *Physiologia Plantarum* 94: 45–50. Thatcher LF, Gardiner DM, Kazan K, Manners JM. 2012. A highly conserved effector in *Fusarium oxysporum* is required for full virulence on Arabidopsis. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* 25: 180–190.

Thines B, Katsir L, Melotto M, Niu Y, Mandaokar A, Liu G, Nomura K, He SY, Howe GA, Browse J. 2007. JAZ repressor proteins are targets of the SCF^(COII) complex during jasmonate signalling. *Nature* 448: 661–665.

Thomma BP, Eggermont K, Penninckx IA, Mauch-Mani B, Vogelsang R, Cammue BP, Broekaert WF. 1998. Separate jasmonate-dependent and salicylate-dependent defense-response pathways in Arabidopsis are essential for resistance to distinct microbial pathogens. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences, USA 95: 15107–15111.

Thomma BP, Eggermont K, Tierens KFJ, Broekaert WF. 1999. Requirement of functional ethylene-insensitive 2 gene for efficient resistance of Arabidopsis to infection by *Botrytis cinerea*. *Plant Physiology* 121: 1093–1101.

Torres MA, Jones JD, Dangl JL. 2006. Reactive oxygen species signalling in response to pathogens. *Plant Physiology* 141: 373–378.

Van der Does D, Leon-Reyes A, Koornneef A, Van Verk MC, Rodenburg N, Pauwels L, Goossens A, Körbes AP, Memelink J, Ritsema T *et al.* 2013. Salicylic acid suppresses jasmonic acid signaling downstream of SCF^{COI1}-JAZ by targeting GCC promoter motifs via transcription factor ORA59. *Plant Cell* 25: 744–761.

Verberne MC, Verpoorte R, Bol JF, Mercado-Blanco J, Linthorst HJ. 2000. Overproduction of salicylic acid in plants by bacterial transgenes enhances pathogen resistance. *Nature Biotechnology* 18: 779–783.

Vlot AC, Dempsey DMA, Klessig DF. 2009. Salicylic acid, a multifaceted hormone to combat disease. Annual Review of Phytopathology 47: 177–206.

Wang KLC, Li H, Ecker JR. 2002. Ethylene biosynthesis and signalling networks. *Plant Cell* 14: S131–S151.

Wasternack C. 2014. Perception, signalling and cross-talk of jasmonates and the seminal contributions of the Daoxin Xie's lab and the Chuanyou Li's lab. *Plant Cell Reports* 33: 707–718.

Wasternack C, Hause B. 2013. Jasmonates: biosynthesis, perception, signal transduction and action in plant stress response, growth and development. An update to the 2007 review in Annals of Botany. *Annals of Botany* 111: 1021–1058.

Wasternack C, Kombrink E. 2009. Jasmonates: structural requirements for lipidderived signals active in plant stress responses and development. ACS Chemical Biology 5: 63–77.

Wildermuth MC, Dewdney J, Wu G, Ausubel FM. 2001. Isochorismate synthase is required to synthesize salicylic acid for plant defence. *Nature* 414: 562–565.

Williams A, Pétriacq P, Schwarzenbacher RE, Beerling DJ, Ton J. 2018. Mechanisms of glacial-to-future atmospheric CO₂ effects on plant immunity. *New Phytologist* 218: 752–761.

Xie DX, Feys BF, James S, Nieto-Rostro M, Turner JG. 1998. CO11: an Arabidopsis gene required for jasmonate-regulated defense and fertility. *Science* **280**: 1091–1094.

Xu LH, Liu FQ, Lechner E, Genschik P, Crosby WL, Ma H, Peng W, Huang DF, Xie DX. 2002. The SCF^{CO11} ubiquitin-ligase complexes are required for jasmonate response in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 14: 1919–1935.

Yamaguchi N, Suzuki M, Fukaki H, Morita-Terao M, Tasaka M, Komeda Y. 2007. CRM1/BIG-mediated auxin action regulates Arabidopsis inflorescence development. *Plant Cell Physiology* 48: 1275–1290.

Yan C, Fan M, Yang M, Zhao J, Zhang W, Su Y, Xiao L, Deng H, Xie D. 2018. Injury activates Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent phosphorylation of JAV1-JAZ8-WRKY51 complex for jasmonate biosynthesis. *Molecular Cell* 70: 136–149.

Yan Y, Stolz S, Chételat A, Reymond P, Pagni M, Dubugnon L, Farmer FE. 2007. A downstream mediator in the growth repression limb of the jasmonate pathway. *Plant Cell* 19: 2470–2483. Yan J, Zhang C, Gu M, Bai Z, Zhang W, Qi T, Cheng Z, Peng W, Luo H, Nan F et al. 2009. The Arabidopsis CORONATINE INSENSITIVE1 protein is a jasmonate receptor. *Plant Cell* 21: 2220–2236.

Yang DL, Yao J, Mei CS, Tong XH, Zeng LJ, Li Q, Xiao LT, Sun TP, Li J, Deng XW et al. 2012. Plant hormone jasmonate prioritizes defense over growth by interfering with gibberellin signalling cascade. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 109: E1192–E1200.

Yuan HM, Liu WC, Lu YT. 2017. CATALASE2 coordinates SA-mediated repression of both auxin accumulation and JA biosynthesis in plant defenses. *Cell Host & Microbe* 21: 143–155.

Zander M, Chen S, Imkampe J, Thurow C, Gatz C. 2012. Repression of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* jasmonic acid/ethylene-induced defense pathway by TGA-interacting glutaredoxins depends on their C-terminal ALWL motif. *Molecular Plant* 5: 831–840.

Zhai Q, Yan L, Tan D, Chen R, Sun J, Gao L, Dong MQ, Wang Y, Li C. 2013. Phosphorylation-coupled proteolysis of the transcription factor MYC2 is important for jasmonate-signaled plant immunity. *PLoS Genetics* 9: e1003422.

Zhai Q, Zhang X, Wu F, Feng H, Deng L, Xu L, Zhang M, Wang Q, Li C. 2015. Transcriptional mechanism of jasmonate receptor COI1-mediated delay of flowering time in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 27: 2814–2828.

Zhang Y, Turner JG. 2008. Wound-induced endogenous jasmonates stunt plant growth by inhibiting mitosis. *PLoS ONE* **3**: e3699.

Zhong S, Shi H, Xue C, Wei N, Guo H, Deng XW. 2014. Ethylene-orchestrated circuitry coordinates a seedling's response to soil cover and etiolated growth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 111: 3913–3920.

Zhong S, Zhao M, Shi T, Shi H, An F, Zhao Q, Guo H. 2009. EIN3/EIL1 cooperate with PIF1 to prevent photo-oxidation and to promote greening of Arabidopsis seedlings. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 106: 21431–21436.

Zhu Z, An F, Feng Y, Li P, Xue L, Mu A, Jiang Z, Kim JM, To TK, Li W et al. 2011. Derepression of ethylene-stabilized transcription factors (EIN3/EIL1) mediates jasmonate and ethylene signalling synergy in Arabidopsis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 108: 12539–12544.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article:

Fig. S1 Stomatal immunity and induction of SA biosynthetic gene were compromised by BIG mutations in Arabidopsis.

Fig. S2 Loss of function of Arabidopsis *BIG* gene disturbed the jasmonate pathway.

Fig. S3 *BIG* gene is involved in regulating JA-responsive gene expression, protein accumulation and seed size in Arabidopsis.

Fig. S4 *BIG* mutation affects ET production and POR expression in Arabidopsis.

Please note: Wiley Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any Supporting Information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.